**Metropolitan Transportation Commission**

**Cycle 1 Regional Competitive Active Transportation Program (ATP)**

**Supplemental Project Application**

**May 22, 2014**

**SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONS**

In addition to the Statewide ATP Application Form, applicants interested in applying for regional competitive ATP funds must include answers to these supplemental questions. Additional information on the MTC regional competitive ATP and application materials is available at: <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/ATP>.

**PROJECT INFORMATION**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Applicant Agency: |  |  |  |
| Project Title: |  |  |  |
| ATP $ Requested: |  |  |  |

Applied for State ATP? Y/N

Same scope/cost as State App.? Y/N

If you answered “No” to the above question, please explain the reasons for your answer (on separate page).

**SCREENING CRITERIA**

1. **BENEFIT TO REGION’S COMMUNITIES OF CONCERN**

The MTC region has already adopted a measure to define Disadvantaged Communities known as “Communities of Concern”. Refer to pages 5-6 of the Regional Competitive ATP Guidelines, Attachment A for more information (see <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/ATP>).

Benefit to Region’s Communities of Concern (this question will be used for screening criteria only to determine if it meets the state 25% programming goal for projects that benefit disadvantaged communities).

* 1. Does the project significantly benefit a Community of Concern? Y/N
	2. If yes, describe benefit to a Community of Concern (on separate page).
1. **LOCAL MATCH REQUIREMENT**

Consistent with CTC guidance, the local match requirement for the regional ATP is 11.47%. However, no local match is required for projects benefiting a Community of Concern, stand-alone non-infrastructure projects, and safe routes to schools projects. As an added provision, a project sponsor may request the local match requirement be waived for the construction phase of an infrastructure project if the pre-construction phases are entirely funded using non-federal and non-ATP funds. This provision minimizes the number of federalized phases requiring an E-76 through Caltrans Local assistance.

* 1. Does the project request the 11.47% match requirement be waived? Y/N

If yes, under what category (1-4) are the match requirements waived: \_\_\_\_\_\_

1. Project benefits a disadvantaged community/ Community of Concern

2. Project is a stand-alone non-infrastructure project

3. Project is a Safe Routes to School project

4. Project’s pre-construction phases are funded by non-federal and non-ATP funds.

 Indicate which pre-construction phases are funded by non-federal and non-ATP funds:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Phase** | **Amount** | **Fund Source** |
| PE Phase (includes PA&ED and PS&E) | $ |  |
| Right of Way Phase (includes support) | $ |  |

Note: specific breakdown into six phases (PA&ED/environmental, PS&E/final design, ROW capital, ROW support, Const. capital, Const. support) must be detailed by year and fund source in the Project Programming Request (PPR) form (as part of the ATP application).

1. **PROGRAMMING AND DELIVERABILITY**

The ATP is primarily a federally-funded program with limited state-only funds; therefore, project sponsors should expect ATP projects to be federalized. Additionally, all projects selected for Regional Competitive ATP funds must comply with MTC Resolution No. 3606 Regional Delivery Deadlines and Policies (see <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/ATP>).

1. Indicate the type of ATP funding requested.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 100% Federal |  |  | 100% State-Only\* |  |  | Combined Federal/State |  |

 \*If unable to use federal funds, explain why (on separate page).

1. Can the project meet the prescribed obligation deadlines below?

Funds programmed in FY 2014-15: Obligation\* by 3/31/2015. Y/N

Funds programmed in FY 2015-16: Obligation\* by 1/31/2016. Y/N

\* Obligation is the federal authorization to proceed/E-76 approval

**REGIONAL EVALUATION CRITERIA (to be scored in addition to State Criteria)**

1. **CONSISTENCY WITH REGIONAL PRIORITIES AND PLANNING EFFORTS** (0 to 10 points)

Applicants shall describe the project’s consistency with previously-approved regional priorities, and how the project meets Plan Bay Area’s objective to meet SB 375 commitments. Points will be awarded for the degree of the proposed project’s consistency with regional priorities. Refer to page 7 of the Regional Competitive ATP Guidelines, Attachment A, for examples (see <http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/ATP>).

* 1. Describe how the project is consistent with regional priorities or helps the region to achieve regional priorities (on separate page).

Projects will be evaluated on the following:

* *Projects that substantially meet regional priorities – 8-10 points*
* *Projects that moderately meet regional priorities – 5-7 points*
* *Projects that minimally meet regional priorities – 1-4 points*
* *Projects that do not meet regional priorities – 0 points*