

**From:** Fran Pollard [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Thursday, July 31, 2014 3:23 PM

**To:** MTC Info

**Subject:** Stop Highway 1 widening - Draft 2015 TIP and Draft Air Quality Conformity Analysis

To: MTC Public Information Office

101 Eighth St.

Oakland, CA 94607

**Subject: Comments - Draft 2015 TIP and Draft Air Quality Conformity Analysis:**

Dear MTC,

I wish to comment that I oppose the widening of Highway 1 in Pacifica by agreeing with the following statements that were submitted by petition and also submitted by the California Coastal Commission.

**Public Opposition: A petition against the Calera Parkway SR1 widening containing over 1200 signatures, of Pacifica and Bay Area residents, was presented to the Pacifica City Council at their meeting on April 28, 2014.**

**The Petition supports the action of pursuing a combination of alternatives to improve traffic and reduce congestion on Highway 1. And to Petition for alternatives that are less damaging and disruptive to Pacifica.**

**At the Council meeting numerous Residents spoke and let the City Council know the Caltrans plan to widen Highway 1 is not good for Pacifica. And it will cause more problems than it will solve.**

**As a resident of Pacifica, I urged the City Council to pursue and identify alternatives to the Calera Parkway, and not accept the Calera Parkway widening plan proposed for Highway 1 by Caltrans. In other words, the Caltrans proposal is too Big, and it cannot go forward until alternatives to widening have been fully explored and considered.**

**Other agencies and individuals have written: and expressed their concerns regarding the Calera Parkway. In October 2011, the Coastal Commission wrote to Caltrans. In the letter they asked Caltrans to study: ' Alternatives that could meet the purpose and the need for the project, including alternatives that would lessen traffic congestion, but would not result in significant impacts on Coastal Resources, including an analysis of combinations of Alternatives.'**

**The Coastal Commission letter also states: 'Although rejected Alternatives may not be effective on their own, to make implementation useful, it appears possible that some combination of the rejected alternatives might be used under a no build or reduced build alternative.'**

**Thank you,  
Fran Pollard**

[REDACTED]