On multiple questions, the way your survey is designed, it just leaves out the possibility of producing adequate housing in high-opportunity communities. For addressing the issue of "jobs / housing imbalance", you have options about trying to incentivize people to build offices in outlying communities that have more housing and less jobs, but nothing about trying to build more housing where the jobs are (e.g. near the YouTube campus in my own town of San Bruno). It's not like it's technologically infeasible to build enough housing and the infrastructure to go with it. We just are making a political choice not to. Towns need to bear the true cost of that choice, instead of just letting it flow through into exorbitant housing costs and mega-commutes.