

Re: Comments to Plan Bay Area

Al Dugan <[REDACTED]>

Wed 6/9/2021 5:49 PM

To: Regional Housing Need Allocation <rhna@bayareametro.gov>

Cc: Plan BayArea Info <planbayareainfo@bayareametro.gov>; info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>; Richard Spotswood <spotswood@comcast.net>; rdevincenzi@marinij.com <rdevincenzi@marinij.com>

External Email

Interesting language....RHNA...."feed into city Housing Element updates in 2022-23. The RHNA dictates housing requirements based on bogus projects not based in reality. Ignore droughts, water supplies, etc.

Your comments?

Al Dugan

[REDACTED], Marin County

Sent from my iPad

> On Jun 9, 2021, at 6:24 PM, Regional Housing Need Allocation <rhna@bayareametro.gov> wrote:

>

> No, the Regional Housing Need Allocation is a parallel but separate process, focused on eight-year housing needs & specific allocations to jurisdictions by ABAG. Plan Bay Area 2050 is a long-range regional plan jointly developed by MTC and ABAG, focused on long-range strategies; it forecasts regional outcomes and the growth pattern at the county level. The housing allocations in RHNA have a stronger nexus with local implementation, as they feed into city Housing Element updates in 2022-23. Conversely, the Plan Bay Area 2050 growth pattern represents the 30-year long-range vision, but it is not binding for local jurisdictions the way RHNA is.

>

> Dave Vautin, AICP

> Assistant Director, Major Plans

> dvautin@bayareametro.gov - (415) 778-6709

>

> BAY AREA METRO | BayAreaMetro.gov

> Metropolitan Transportation Commission

> Association of Bay Area Governments

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Al Dugan <[REDACTED]>

> Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 4:13 PM

> To: Plan BayArea Info <planbayareainfo@bayareametro.gov>

> Cc: info@planbayarea.org; Regional Housing Need Allocation <rhna@bayareametro.gov>; Richard Spotswood <spotswood@comcast.net>; rdevincenzi@marinij.com

> Subject: Re: Comments to Plan Bay Area

>

> *External Email*

>
>
> So please educate me, RHNA is not part of Plan Bay Area?
>
> This seems like an imaginary Siloam the RHNA IS PART OF Plan Bay Area.
>
> Your thoughts?
>
> Regards,
> Al Dugan [REDACTED], Marin County
>
> Sent from my iPad
>
>> On Jun 9, 2021, at 6:02 PM, Plan BayArea Info <planbayareainfo@bayareametro.gov> wrote:
>>
>> Hello Al,
>>
>> I see that your comments on the RHNA process, not Plan Bay Area 2050. As such, I'm forwarding them over to RHNA email inbox so they can respond on that topic.
>>
>> Dave Vautin, AICP
>> Assistant Director, Major Plans
>> dvautin@bayareametro.gov - (415) 778-6709
>>
>> BAY AREA METRO | BayAreaMetro.gov
>> Metropolitan Transportation Commission Association of Bay Area
>> Governments
>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Al Dugan <[REDACTED]>
>> Sent: Wednesday, June 9, 2021 3:57 PM
>> To: info@planbayarea.org
>> Cc: Richard Spotswood <spotswood@comcast.net>;
>> rdevincenzi@marinij.com; Dave Allen <dallen@marinij.com>
>> Subject: Comments to Plan Bay Area
>>
>> *External Email*
>>
>>
>> Making comments to this alleged planning tool is a joke. I submitted Marin is having a drought, and you respond back the Executive Committee didn't accept this for consideration in the RHNA. This planning is a joke, pushed by developers, builders and investors, the triad, and is not tied to reality. You continue this charade of involvement with citizens but it is nothing but a CYA so you can check it off the list and still do exactly as the triad wants. Marin County RHNA numbers are astronomical and will not have future water for all of us, and you could care less. We should be using the DOF demographic units population numbers, they are used for all other planning and budgeting in CA. .
>>
>> I would love your comments back.
>>

>> Sincerely,
>> Al Dugan
>> [REDACTED], Marin County
>>
>>
>>
>> Sent from my iPad
>