

---

**From:** Cautn1 <cautn1@aol.com>  
**Date:** July 19, 2021 at 1:09:38 PM PDT  
**To:** Alix Bockelman <ABockelman@bayareametro.gov>  
**Subject: Fwd: PBA 2050: Transportation Questions of Relevance**  
**Reply-To:** Cautn1 <cautn1@aol.com>

**\*External Email\***

Hi Alix,

Could you direct this the PBA 2050 team? The address I had does not seem to work. Thanks!

Jerry Cauthen

---

From: cautn1@aol.com  
To: eircomments@bayareametro.com  
Sent: 7/19/2021 12:45:35 PM Pacific Standard Time  
Subject: PBA 2050: Transportation Questions of Relevance



## Bay Area Transportation Working Group

July 19, 2021

Dear PBA Team:

I think you may already have most of these questions, but just in case.....

- 1.) Is there any documentation supporting the contention that placing housing units near a bus or train stop would reduce VMT? If so, by what percentage?
- 2.) What steps are being taken to reduce the need to make long commute and non-commute trips?
- 3.) What quantitative basis is there for concluding that transit-oriented housing would cause transit ridership to rise significantly?
- 4.) What about the 75% of regional trips that are not commute trips? How will being near a bus or train stop affect non-commute trips?
- 5.) What specific steps have been taken in PBA 2050 to reduce non-commute auto trips? What data is available to prove that "transit-oriented housing" as defined in PBA 2050 would materially increase non-commute transit ridership and/or reduce VMT?
- 6.) What percentage of those moving into the allegedly transit-oriented housing are projected to sell their cars?

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Gerald Cauthen P.E.  
Co-Founder and President,  
Bay Area Transportation Working Group (BATWG)  
510 208 5441  
[www.batwgblog.com](http://www.batwgblog.com)

