

Re: Draft RTP Project List

Hisham Noeimi <hnoeimi@ccta.net>

Tue 6/22/2021 11:11 AM

To: Raleigh McCoy <RMcCoy@bayareametro.gov>; Adam Noelting <ANoelting@bayareametro.gov>
Cc: Stephanie Hu <StephanieH@ccta.net>; mkelly@ccta.net <mkelly@ccta.net>; info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>; John Hoang <jhoang@ccta.net>

External Email

Hi. Raleigh:

Is there a way to include Pittsburg in the following RTP listing:

Project 21-T11-099 in PBA 2050 has \$112m for private ferry service from/to Hercules, Martinez and Antioch. Program description is shown as follows:

This program includes funding to implement new privately operated ferry service between San Francisco and Antioch, Martinez and Hercules, including new ferry terminals (2-5 peak trips per day).

Thank you

Hisham

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Raleigh McCoy <RMcCoy@bayareametro.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021, 3:11 AM
To: Hisham Noeimi; Adam Noelting
Cc: Stephanie Hu; Matt Kelly; info@planbayarea.org
Subject: RE: Draft RTP Project List

Hi Hisham,

Thanks for flagging these issues. We can confirm that the three express lane projects (I-80, I-680, and SR-4) are represented in the travel model for conformity analysis and shown on the map of road projects in the transportation element chapter of the plan. We'll fix the omission from the scope in the project list for the final plan adoption this fall.

The projects you bring up have some flexibility when it comes to which RTP ID they reference, since they are mostly exempt projects. See below for individual responses:

Oakley Park & Ride Lot: We assumed it is covered under 21-T03-009 but we need confirmation > **you could also use 21-T10-093 (other programmatic investments to enhance local transit frequency, capacity & reliability).**

Hercules Rail Station: We assumed it is covered under 21-T11-115 but we need confirmation > **confirmed.**

Oakley Amtrak Rail Station: We assumed it is covered under 21-T11-115 but we need confirmation > **confirmed.**

Access and Mobility Category: In prior RTP, this category covered Paratransit. Can you let us know if paratransit is covered anywhere? > **there are a few RTP IDs that you could point to for Paratransit, including 21-T01-001 (for existing operations) or 21-T10-093 (a better fit for expanded operations).**

All the arterial improvement projects. 21-T07-056 would cover them if the extensions/widenings are less than ¼ mile. I don't believe the ones we submitted meet that requirement > **good catch. The ¼ mile limit on extensions/widenings refers to minor highway improvements, but not arterials. We'll update the scope for clarity for the final plan adoption.**

21-T03-033 description contains a typo as follows: **good catch. We'll update the scope description for 21-T06-033. The improvement at SR-242 and Clayton Rd is referenced under RTP ID 21-T06-045.**

Unfortunately, we do not have any updates on the additionality issue.

Could you send more information on the scope of the proposed new project? At this point, we have completed the multiyear process to develop the transportation project list and are in the middle of environmental analysis. While it's not possible for us to add projects during this analysis phase, MTC/ABAG could consider amending the plan after its adoption this fall to integrate new investments, though that would require a commensurate removal of projects to keep the transportation project list fiscally-constrained.

We can set up a time to discuss these questions/comments in more detail next week.

Best,
Raleigh

Raleigh McCoy
Planner, Regional Planning Program
rmccoy@bayareametro.gov | 415-778-6742

Bay Area Metro | bayareametro.gov
Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Association of Bay Area Governments

From: Hisham Noeimi <hnoeimi@ccta.net>
Sent: Thursday, June 3, 2021 9:41 AM
To: Raleigh McCoy <RMcCoy@bayareametro.gov>; Adam Noelting <ANoelting@bayareametro.gov>
Cc: Stephanie Hu <StephanieH@ccta.net>; mkelly@ccta.net
Subject: RE: Draft RTP Project List

External Email

Raleigh/Adam:

Just checking if you got my email below. Your responses are needed to ensure we get a chance to revise the TIP by June 8 as requested by Adam C.

Thanks for your help.

Hisham

From: Hisham Noeimi
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 4:16 PM
To: Raleigh McCoy <RMcCoy@bayareametro.gov>; Adam Noelting <ANoelting@bayareametro.gov>
Cc: Stephanie Hu <StephanieH@ccta.net>; Matt Kelly <mkelly@ccta.net>
Subject: Draft RTP Project List

Hi Adam/Raleigh:

Hope all is well. We had a chance to review the draft RTP project list and wanted to check with you on few discrepancies and/or missing projects. We reviewed all the programmatic categories and in some cases it was not crystal clear whether some of our projects belonged to them.

The attached file cross references the RTP ID with Contra Costa submitted projects.

We noticed the following issues:

The following projects are completely missing from Express Lane category 21-T12-116:

1. I-680 NB Express Lane
2. SR4 Express Lane
3. I-80 Express Lanes in Contra Costa (Ala and Sol shown but not Contra Costa).

The description of the category is shown as: This program includes funding to implement express lanes through HOV lane conversions on I-80 (ALA); partial HOV lane conversions on I-80 (SOL), I-280 (SF) and US-101 (SF); freeway lane conversions on I-580 (ALA) and I-880 (ALA); new lane on I-80 (SOL), I-680 (ALA) and US-101 (SM); new dual lanes with HOV lane conversions on SR-85 (SCL); and new dual lanes on US-101 (SCL).

4. Oakley Park & Ride Lot: We assumed it is covered under 21-T03-009 but we need confirmation.
5. Hercules Rail Station: We assumed it is covered under 21-T11-115 but we need confirmation.
6. Oakley Amtrak Rail Station: We assumed it is covered under 21-T11-115 but we need confirmation.
7. Access and Mobility Category: In prior RTP, this category covered Paratransit. Can you let us know if paratransit is covered anywhere?
8. All the arterial improvement projects. 21-T07-056 would cover them if the extensions/widenings are less than ¼ mile. I don't believe the ones we submitted meet that requirement
9. 21-T03-066 description contains a typo as follows:

This program includes funding to implement interchange improvements at Camino Pablo and Clayton Rd and a new eastbound auxiliary lane between Wilder Rd and Camino Pablo.

Can we schedule time to discuss? We also wanted to find out if you have any update on your prior discussion with Stephanie related to VMT mitigation on Innovate 680 and the additionality issue.

Finally, is it too late to add a new project to the RTP? We have a new project in East County that will help with VMT mitigation. It is called East Contra Costa County Dynamic Personal Micro Transit. A feasibility study was just completed for the project. It will be good to know if any of the programmatic categories would cover it.

Thanks

Hisham