

Public Comment on Draft Plan Bay Area

Paul Bickmore <[REDACTED]>

Fri 7/16/2021 4:56 PM

To: info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>

External Email

Members of the Metropolitan Transportation Commission Plan Bay Area 2050 Team,

I would like to submit the following comments on the Draft Plan Bay Area.

1. No freeway or highway expansion. The draft plan calls for four hundred fifty miles of new freeway lanes by 2050, at a cost of twenty billion dollars, including in urban areas like San Francisco. Almost half of this cost is hidden in the Express Lane program, which will primarily encourage new car trips by lowering the time cost of driving. Plan Bay Area's own analysis shows that building freeway lanes results in more congestion and higher emissions than if they were not built.
2. Instead of building new freeway lanes, we should create a network of free-flowing transit lanes by converting existing general purpose freeway lanes. This will generate revenue, reduce emissions, and improve transit service, without the added congestion and emissions that come from building new freeway lanes.
3. Remove freeways, such as 280, 101, 980, 580, 24, and 880 instead of building more of them. It's time to remove urban freeways that cause high rates of asthma, covid vulnerability, and other public health impacts especially in communities of color, and don't even serve a compelling transportation need. Freeway removal was not even studied in Plan Bay Area, so we don't know how much these projects would improve congestion and emissions. If necessary, study replacing them with rail connections in order to handle port traffic. Otherwise, the less freeway capacity we have, the better.

Paul Bickmore

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]