# Plan Bay Area <info@planbayarea.org>

Sun 6/20/2021 8:12 PM

To: info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>

\*External Email\*

Submitted on June 20, 2021 Submitted values are: \*Name\* Richard Ranky \*Email\*

[1] \*Zip\*

\*Affiliation\* Employee \*Topic\* Economy \*Comment\* For jobs training, there doesnt appear any mention of types of job or which sector. For some of the goals in other sections there will be a need for an increased workforce. I recommend to link these goals. i.e. "Job Training" within the economic section will train to supply an added workforce to the "maintain road infrastructure" or "expand high speed internet" goals. This provides a two-fold benefit for the funds being used. I dont agree with funding for incubators in this section. If someone is entrepreneurial they will seek out and realize the opportunities for themselves. I recommend to focus here on workforce growth, especially in the trades [1] mailto

# Plan Bay Area <info@planbayarea.org>

Sun 6/20/2021 8:25 PM

To: info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>

\*External Email\*

Submitted on June 20, 2021 Submitted values are: \*Name\* Richard Ranky \*Email\*

[1] \*Zip\*

\*Affiliation\* Resident \*Topic\* Housing \*Comment\* What percentage of homes in the Bay Area are occupied as well as owned? What ideas are there for additional incentive for homeowners to have full occupancy of properties including apartment buildings? Similar to how priceline offers a discount rate for last minute hotel rooms, what economic incentives / policy is possible to compel homeowners and condos to maintain a minimum of occupancy of something like 90% even at a reduced price? Are there opportunities for a program to assist people who are recently homeless and living in their cars for example to temporarily reside with a family in the top 5% income in the Bay Area? They could park in the driveways of the wealthy residents as a safe space for a set period of time (6 months?) while they look for a new job or home.

[1] mailto:

# Plan Bay Area <info@planbayarea.org>

Sun 6/20/2021 8:31 PM

To: info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>

\*External Email\*

Submitted on June 20, 2021 Submitted values are: \*Name\* Richard Ranky \*Email\*

[1] \*Zip\*

\*Affiliation\* Resident \*Topic\* Transportation \*Comment\*

There is no clear plan to reduce car ownership and supplement with car sharing or public transit.

Multiple car ownership in the Bay Area should be a luxury, and taxed appropriately. In SF for example, registering a car for a household should be based on wheelbase or weight (the larger the vehicle, the more parking it takes up, so the more expensing the registration should be). Incentives (priority parking and commuter lane access) for car share services can also help reduce the amount of car ownership. There is not much detail on which transit routes the team wants to prioritize on improving and adding. Picking some corridors would help to focus the message [1]

# Plan Bay Area <info@planbayarea.org>

Sun 6/20/2021 8:36 PM

To: info@planbayarea.org <info@planbayarea.org>

\*External Email\*

Submitted on June 20, 2021 Submitted values are: \*Name\* Richard Ranky \*Email\*

[1] \*Zip\*

\*Affiliation\* Resident \*Topic\* Economy \*Comment\* Partner with the Mike Rowe Works foundation for improved vocational training methods and outreach. https://www.mikeroweworks.org/about/ Also: expand the list of volunteer housing construction opportunities. Habitat for humanity currently has one single site available on their sign ups and all of the volunteer positions are full for the next 2 months. I think there are more people willing to pitch in to help build affordable housing, but the avenue to sign up and help is not clear. [1] mailto