

**From:** [Ursula Vogler](mailto:Ursula.Vogler@planbayarea.org)  
**To:** [info@planbayarea.org](mailto:info@planbayarea.org)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Question on goals to reduce GHGs  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 05, 2020 11:58:31 AM

---

**\*External Email\***

Ursula Vogler  
MTC/ABAG  
Cell: (510) 393-0302

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Marjorie Alvord <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** August 5, 2020 at 11:45:36 AM PDT  
**To:** Ursula Vogler <[UVogler@bayareametro.gov](mailto:UVogler@bayareametro.gov)>  
**Subject:** Question on goals to reduce GHGs

**\*External Email\***

[1] Why doesn't the PBA 2050 blueprint plan for FULL ARB GHG reduction targets? Might the plan include alternatives for revenue raising strategies to support goals for meeting full reduction, even if the reality of some revenue raising alternatives may require action on the part of other agencies or legislative bodies?

[2] It's not clear to me how the bluepring draft takes COVID19 impacts into consideration. For example, Blueprint draft's assumption on how much telecommuting will increase between 2015 to 2050 seems rather low given current press reports on both employer and employee preferences favoring telecommuting. Do those figures really take into account structural changes from our COVID19 experience that may be long-lasting? Is there a way for members of the public to find out more about post-COVID19 structural change assumptions being made?

[3] It doesn't appear that the plan blueprint places much emphasis on green infrastructure/nature-based solutions as best tools for mitigating and adapting to climate change risks such as sea level rise and urban heat impacts. Can the PBA 2050 include a strategy of encouraging greater use of green infrastructure/nature-based solutions, especially for public spaces and throughways?

Thanks for considering these questions.

Marjorie Alvord  
[REDACTED]

---



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)