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*Topic* Transportation *Comment* The Plan is very organized! You have the five Guiding Principles, the 35 Stratgies, the Growth Geographies, very professional! The Draft Plan is very informative! For just one of many examples, I now know what an Ecotone Levee is. Thank you! My Quibbles: I think there should be more emphasis on transportation electrification, with a greater slice of the money pie allotted to this--not only to encourage personal EV's, but also for drayage and trucking, and for government fleets. On personal EV's, the CVRP should continue. Right now, funding is exhausted. This is an urgent "fix it first" situation. Clean Cars 4 All is another important program that must be maintained. On drayage and trucking--as a resident of San Leandro, I breathe a lot of diesel exhaust from our Distribution Centers. We need to accelerate electrification of medium- and heavy-duty trucks, by any means necessary! The Port of Oakland does not even get mentioned! I understand there is a pilot project to electrify movement of goods in and around the Seaport. I suspect that much more should be done about this, for the sake of West Oakland residents and for the climate! On government fleets--I happen to know that the Daly City Sewer Plant has just one charging station for its few EV's. The Santa Clara Water Department is hesitant to buy more EV's, because of their own scarcity of charging. These kinds of situations should be relatively cheap and easy to improve. For myself, I commute to work on a bike most days. But in the short term, I think EV's offer more climatological ROI than most other tools, and that you should bump them up in your priority list. Incidentally, I don't understand why transportation electrification appears under the Environment element, instead of Transportation. [1] mailto:info@planbayarea.org